

SEQUESTRATION OF CARBON DIOXIDE (CO₂) USING BIO-FOAMED CONCRETE
BRICK INCORPORATING *BACILLUS TEQUILENSIS* BACTERIA

ABDULLAH FAISAL ABDULAZIZ AL-SHALIF



UNIVERSITI TUN HUSSEIN ONN MALAYSIA

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I wish to express my sincere thanks to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Mohd Irwan Bin Juki and my co-supervisors; Prof. Madya Dr. Norzila Binti Othman, Ts. Dr. Adel Ali Saeed Abduh Algeethi and Dr. Shazarel Bin Shamsudin for their support and guidance along my PhD journey. I will not forget their golden words and advices, which caused a drastically change in my academic and social life.

I would also like to thank all the technical staff of the Environmental, Structural and Material Engineering Laboratory in the Department of Civil Engineering whose assistance have been very forthcoming. The same thank goes to the technical staff of microstructure and materials laboratory in the Department of Mechanical Engineering for their help and assistance. I also owe my gratitude to Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia for the financial and technical support.

Above all, my sincere appreciation to my family especially to my parents; Faisal Abdulaziz Al-shalif and Molok Mohammed Abdullah Al-Shalif for their understanding support and prayers. They give motivations to achieve my goals successfully at the time they were in needed to someone to support them and give them some help and motivations especially at war situation in Yemen. Also, to my lovely wife Sarah binti Abdulkarem Al-shalif, my daughters Huriah, Shaikhah and my son Abdulaziz who give me strong motivation to finish my study in Malaysia.

ABSTRACT

The emission of carbon dioxide (CO₂) into the atmosphere increased rapidly in the last decades, which lead to global warming, climate change and rise in sea level. This research aimed to contribute on sequestration of CO₂ using biological approach to sequester CO₂ in bio-foamed concrete bricks (B-FCB). The used of biological approach in B-FCB is considered as new direction of CO₂ sequestration which in role helps to reduce the amount of CO₂ from atmospheric at the same time improve the strength and physical properties of B-FCB via precipitation of calcium carbonate (CaCO₃). The *Bacillus tequilensis* successfully isolated from cement kiln dust (CKD) to acclimatize in B-FCB. The *B.tequilensis* have the ability to produce carbonic anhydrase (CA) and urease enzymes to sequester CO₂ and convert it to CaCO₃. 2^k factorial and Response Surface Method (RSM) analysis were used to optimise carbonation depth and compressive strength of B-FCB using four factors, density (D), *B.tequilensis* (B), temperature (T) and CO₂. By given priority to carbonation depth the optimal carbonation depth and compressive strength of B-FCB used were 9.3 mm and 3.3 MPa at the following conditions; 20 % of CO₂, 3x10⁵ of B, 40 °C of T and 1550 kg/m³ of D at 28 days. The use of B in B-FCB increase CO₂ uptake by 29.7 % compared to foamed concrete brick without *B.tequilensis* (FCB) at 28 days. In addition, the use of B in B-FCB improve compressive strength, reduce initial rate of water absorption, water absorption and increase carbonation depth via 35.5 %, 76.4 %, 20.2 % and 30.0 % compared to FCB respectively. The change in chemical elements levels and increasing crystallinity precipitation in the form of CaCO₃ were analysed by SEM, EDX and XRD. Microstructure analysis confirmed the improvement of the compressive strength and physical properties of B-FCB compared to FCB, which was analysed via SEM, EDX and XRD.

ABSTRAK

Pencemaran karbon dioksida (CO_2) meningkat dengan cepat di atmosfera sejak akhir-akhir ini yang menyebabkan pemanasan global, perubahan iklim dan peningkatan paras air laut. Oleh itu, kajian ini berfokus kepada cara mengurangkan dan menstabilkan CO_2 dengan tujuan untuk mengatasi kesan negatifnya kepada manusia dan persekitaran. Pengasingan CO_2 boleh dilakukan dengan menggunakan kaedah geological. Namun, penstabilan geological adalah sangat mahal dan mungkin memberikan kesan kepada permukaan bawah tanah dan peningkatan pemendakan kalsite pada air laut. Masa kini, saintis bertukar kaedah daripada menggunakan kaedah biologikal kepada kaedah baru untuk pengasingan CO_2 . Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk menggunakan kaedah biologikal untuk bata konkrit bio-berbuisa (B-FCB). *Bacillus tequilensis* telah berjaya di asingkan daripada debu kilen simen (CKD) bagi disesuaikan dalam B-FCB. *B. tequilensis* mempunyai kelebihan untuk menghasilkan anhidrase karbonat (CA) and enzim urea bagi pengasingan CO_2 dan menukarnya kepada CaCO_3 . Analisis faktor 2^k dan kaedah analisis permukaan tindak balas (RSM) digunakan untuk mengoptimalkan kedalaman karbonasi dan kekuatan mampatan bagi B-FCB menggunakan empat faktor iaitu density (D), *B. tequilensis* (B), temperature (T), dan CO_2 . Dengan memberi keutamaan kepada kedalaman karbonasi dengan maksima kedalaman karbonasi dan kekuatan mampatan bagi B-FCB dengan menggunakan 9.3 mm dan 3.3 MPa pada keadaan 20 % CO_2 , 3×10^5 *B. tequilensis*, 40 °C dan 1550 kg/m³ untuk 28 hari. Penggunaan *B. tequilensis* telah meningkatkan CO_2 sehingga 29.7 % dibandingkan dengan bata konkrit berbuisa (FCB). Disamping itu, penggunaan *B. tequilensis* pada B-FCB meningkatkan kekuatan mampatan mengurangkan kadar permulaan resapan air, mengurangkan kadar resapan air dan meningkatkan kedalaman karbonasi melalui 35.5 %, 76.4 %, 20.2 % dan 30.0 % dibandingkan dengan FCB. Perubahan level elemen kimia dan peningkatan pemendakan kristal dalam bentuk karbonat kalsium (CaCO_3) telah di kesan yang di analisis menggunakan SEM, EDX dan XRD. Analisis struktur mikro telah mengesahkan terdapat penambahan pada kekuatan mampatan dan ciri-ciri fizikal untuk B-FCB dibandingkan dengan FCB yang dianalisis menggunakan SEM, EDX dan XRD.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Objectives of study	5
1.4 Scope of study	5
1.5 Significance of study	7
1.6 Organization of thesis	7
CHAPTER 2 LITERATUREREVIEW	9
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 CO ₂ concentration in atmospheric	9
2.3 CO ₂ emission sources (Cement factories)	11
2.3.1 CO ₂ in Malaysia	13
2.4 Capture and storage of CO ₂	18
2.4.1 Geological sequestration of CO ₂	20
2.4.2 Bio-sequestration	21
2.5 CO ₂ sequestrations in concrete via carbonation	23
2.5.1 Mechanisms of carbonation in concrete	24
2.5.2 Factors affect carbonation in concrete	25

4.5.1	Production of CA enzyme	90
4.5.2	Production of urease enzyme	91
4.5.3	Aerobic or anaerobic condition via theioglycollate broth assay	92
4.5.4	Survival bacteria in candle jar test	93
4.5.5	Adoption of bacteria growth in bio-foamed concrete	94
4.5.6	Identification of the most potent bacteria	95
4.5.7	Production of <i>B.tequilensis</i> in powder form	95
4.6	Summary and concluding remark	96
CHAPTER 5	PROPERIES OF FCB AND B-FCB	97
5.1	Introduction	97
5.2	Workability of foamed concrete with and without bacteria	98
5.2.1	Fresh density test	98
5.2.2	Inverted slump test	98
5.3	Screening of important process parameters	100
5.3.1	Screening stage analysis	101
5.4	Analysis of Response Surface Methodology (RSM) results	110
5.4.1	Optimisation of compressive strength for B-FCB	111
5.4.2	RSM analysis for carbonation depth of B-FCB	118
5.4.3	Optimum setting of carbonation and compressive strength of B-FCB	124
5.4.4	Developing empirical model	126
5.5	Properties of FCB and B-FCB	127
5.5.1	Comparison of compressive strength between FCB and B-FCB	128
5.5.2	Comparison of initial water absorption between FCB and B-FCB	130
5.5.3	Comparison of water absorption between FCB and B- FCB	131
5.5.4	Comparison of carbonation depth between FCB and B- FCB	133
5.6	Microstructure analysis	137

2.6	Potential of accelerating CO ₂ sequestration in bio-foamed concrete via bacteria	29
2.7	Foamed concrete	32
2.7.1	Characteristics of foamed concrete	33
2.8	Microstructures analysis used in bio-concrete	43
2.8.1	Microstructure analysis	44
2.8.2	Morphology analysis using (EDX)	47
2.8.3	X-ray diffraction (XRD)	48
2.9	Response surface methodology (RSM)	50
2.10	Concluding remarks	52
CHAPTER 3	METHADODOLOGY	53
3.1	Introduction	53
3.2	Biological Field (preparation of bacteria)	55
3.2.1	Collection and testing on kiln samples	55
3.2.2	Isolation and gram staining of bacterial strains	56
3.2.3	Selection of the most potent bacteria	57
3.2.4	Preparation of bacterial cell in powder form	59
3.2.5	Identification of bacteria	60
3.3	Material field	60
3.3.1	Preparation of bio-foamed concrete materials	61
3.3.2	Experimental design to optimize compressive strength and carbonation depth of B-FCB	64
3.3.3	Workability of concrete	71
3.3.4	Curing of the specimens in chamber	73
3.3.5	Hardened stage	74
3.3.6	Microstructure analysis	79
3.4	Development of empirical modeling	83
CHAPTER 4	CHARACTERISTICS AND BACTERIA GROWTH IN SIMULATED ENVIRONMENT	85
4.1	Introduction	85
4.2	Characteristic of bacteria samples (CKD)	85
4.3	Isolation of bacteria	86
4.4	Optical density	88
4.5	Selection of the most potent bacteria	90

5.6.1	Healing process and porosity determination	137
5.6.2	Elemental analysis of FCB and B-FCB	139
5.6.3	Crystallinity analysis of FCB and B-FCB	142
5.7	Concluding remark	145
CHAPTER 6	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	146
6.1	Introduction	146
6.2	Conclusion	147
6.2.1	Objective 1: To identify the most potent bacteria strain for CKD for CO ₂ sequestration in B-FCB	147
6.2.2	Objective 2 : To optimize the compressive strength and CO ₂ sequestration by carbonation depth in B-FCB	148
6.2.3	Objective 3: To propose the empirical models for optimum CO ₂ sequestration and compressive strength of B-FCB	149
6.2.4	Objective 4: To evaluate the compressive strength and physical properties, and morphology of microstructure of FCB and B-FCB after CO ₂ sequestration process	150
6.3	Research contribution	152
6.4	Recommendation for future study	152



LIST OF TABLES

No. OF TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Cement production in the world at 2005, 2010 and 2015	13
2.2	The total energy consumption in Malaysia and the percentage of each source	17
2.3	Factors affecting bio-sequestration process of CO ₂ in the organism's cells by carbon anhydrase (CA)	23
2.4	Properties and parameters that influence carbonation	25
2.5	Exposure conditions that affect acceleration CO ₂ in concrete specimens	28
2.6	Types of bacteria used in bio-concrete and their applications	29
2.7	The relationship between density and compressive strength of foamed concrete at 28 days of curing	35
2.8	The factors that effect on foamed concrete strength	36
3.1	Summaries of the chemical compound of cement	61
3.2	Design scheme of process parameters and their levels	65
3.3	Concrete mixture and RSM design to obtain the optimisation experiment	69
3.4	FCB and B-FCB specimen details	70
4.1	pH values for cement kiln dust (CKD) samples	86
4.2	Summaries of CKD and cement chemicals components via XRD	86
4.3	Culture and morphological characteristics of bacterial isolates	88
4.4	Selection of the most potent bacteria parameters	94

5.1	Results of inverted slump test with different densities level of foamed concrete with and without bacteria	99
5.2	Compressive strength and carbonation depth of all experiments at screening stage	102
5.3	ANOVA analysis for compressive strength for B-FCB	104
5.4	ANOVA analysis for carbonation depth of B-FCB	108
5.5	The results of full design of RSM of compressive strength and carbonation depth	111
5.6	ANOVA results of compressive strength (after backward elimination)	113
5.7	Influential factors ranked to compressive strength of B-FCB according to coefficient values	114
5.8	ANOVA results of carbonation depth (after backward elimination)	120
5.9	Influential factors ranked to carbonation depth according to coefficient values	121
5.10	Tests and predicted carbonation depth and compressive strength of B-FCB results	127
5.11	CO ₂ uptake of FCB and B-FCB	136
5.12	The chemical wight (%) of C, O and Ca elements in FCB and B-FCB	140
5.13	Crystallinity percentage of FCB and B-FCB	145

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Concentration of CO ₂ in the world	10
2.2	Sources of CO ₂ emissions	11
2.3	Top three countries produced cement worldwide	13
2.4	Emission of CO ₂ in Malaysia	14
2.5	The relationship between increase number of vehicles and CO ₂ emission in Malaysia	15
2.6	Fossil fuel CO ₂ emission for unit electricity generation	16
2.7	The sources of electricity energy in Malaysia	18
2.8	Different methods to sequestrate CO ₂	19
2.9	Process of geological sequestration of CO ₂	20
2.10	Factors affecting the carbonation process in concrete	28
2.11	Chemical reaction in bio-concrete during the carbonation process	31
2.12	Top ten countries produce foamed concrete in 1987	32
2.13	Carbonation depth for foamed concrete (a) median density (b) low density	40
2.14	Applications of foamed concrete	41
2.15	Materials for foamed concrete bricks	42
2.16	Foamed concrete brick incorporated with fly ash	43
2.17	SEM image for bio-concrete with (a) <i>Uratolytic bacteria</i> (b) <i>Sulfate reduction bacteria</i> 28 days of curing	45

2.18	SEM images for concrete incorporating with (0 %, 5 %, 10 %, 15 % and 20 %) RHA with and without <i>Bacillus aerius</i> respectively	46
2.19	SEM images of top surface of lightweight concrete treated by the medium with <i>S. pasteurii</i> measured in (a)100 µm, (b)10 µm and (c) EDS spectra at point 1	47
2.20	Energy-dispersive X-ray spectrum of bio-concrete specimens	48
2.21	XRD pattern of calcium carbonate crystals formed in (a) the cell-free medium, (b) the medium with <i>S. pasteurii</i> and (c) the medium with <i>B. sphaericus</i>	59
2.22	RSM profiles from a quadratic model in the optimisation of two variables. (a) Maximum (b) plateau (c) maximum outside the experimental region (d) minimum and (e) saddle surfaces	51
3.1	Flowchart of methodology	54
3.2	Collection of bacteria samples (a) five areas of samples collection (b) cement kiln dust on the floor	57
3.3	Isolation process of bacteria and gram staining; (1) Enrichment (2) Serial dilution (3) Streaking plate (4) Strain purification (5) Gram staining (6) Microscopy	58
3.4	Candle jar test	59
3.5	Freeze dryer of bacteria	60
3.6	Bacteria identification procedure	60
3.7	Ordinary portland cement	61
3.8	Preparation of fine sand	62
3.9	Water used to produce FCB and B-FCB	63
3.10	Synthetic foamed agent	63
3.11	<i>B.tequilensis</i> in powder form	64
3.12	A 2 ^k design with center points	67
3.13	Procedure for mixing process of specimen (a) cement (b) sand (c) water with and without bacteria (d) foamed agent (e) mix all materials (f) molding FCB and B-FCB	71
3.14	Fresh density test	72
3.15	Inverted slump test	73
3.16	Chamber control CO ₂ concentration, temperature °C and relative humidity	73

3.17	Compressive strength test machine	75
3.18	The initial rate of water absorption test	76
3.19	Water absorption testing by soaking 24-hours of FCB and B-FCB	77
3.20	Carbonation depth test (a) splitting specimen in two half (b) measuring carbonation depth	78
3.21	Hand-hydraulic press used to create pellets	80
3.22	Pellets formed for XRF analyses	80
3.23	(a) JFC-1600 auto fine coater (b) SEM samples after coating process	81
3.24	Scanning electronic microscopy (SEM) machine	81
3.25	Energy dispersive X-ray analysis with three spectrums	82
3.26	(a) extract concrete powder (b) XRD sampl	83
4.1	Growth of bacteria with numbers;101, 201,301,401 and 501 colonies on the proposed medium after 20 days of enrichment	87
4.2	Gram staining of bacteria with number 401	88
4.3	The optical density for all isolated bacteria with numbers;101,201,301,401 and 501 inside the created medium along 50 hours	89
4.4	Carbonic anhydrase (CA) enzymes assay at week two	91
4.5	Urease enzyme assay of the isolated bacteria after three weeks	92
4.6	Theioglycollate broth assay for isolated bacteria compare to control at 3 days	93
4.7	SEM images of <i>B.tequilensis</i>	95
4.8	Process of producing <i>B.tequilensis</i> in a powder form (a) sub-culture and shaking process (b) centrifuge the medium (c) separate cell pellet from the medium (d) freeze-drying the cell pellets	96
5.1	Invested slump test for foamed concrete with and without <i>B.tequilensis</i>	99
5.2	Inverted slump for three different density of foamed concrete	100
5.3	Results factorial analysis with curvature test of the main effect plot for compressive strength of B-FCB	103

5.4	Results of factorial analysis with curvature test of interaction plot for compressive strength of B-FCB	105
5.5	Cup plot for compressive strength	106
5.6	Results factorial analysis with curvature test of the main effect plot for carbonation depth of B-FCB	107
5.7	Results of factorial analysis with curvature test of interaction plot for carbonation depth of B-FCB	109
5.8	Cup plot for carbonation depth	110
5.9	Residual plot for compressive strength of B-FCB	112
5.10	Response surface and contour plots for compressive strength of B-FCB; (a and b) between B and CO ₂ , (c and d) between B and D and (e and f) between (B and T)	116
5.11	Optimisation plot for compressive strength of B-FCB	117
5.12	Residual plot for carbonation depth of B-FCB	119
5.13	Response surface and contour plots for carbonation depth of B-FCB; (a and b) between B and CO ₂ , (c and d) between B and D and (e and f) between (B and T)	123
5.14	Optimisation plot for carbonation depth of B-FCB	124
5.15	Optimisation plot for compressive strength and carbonation depth of B-FCB	125
5.16	Compressive strength of FCB and B-FCB	129
5.17	Initial water absorption of FCB and B-FCB	131
5.18	Water absorption of FCB and B-FCB	132
5.19	Compression CD between FCB and B-FCB (a) with 1300 kg/m ³ (b) with 1550 kg/m ³ (c) with 1800 kg/m ³	134
5.20	Carbonation depth of FCB and B-FCB	135
5.21	SEM images compared between FCB and B-FCB at 28 days with following density (a) 1300 kg/m ³ FCB, (b) 1300 kg/m ³ B-FCB, (c) 1550 kg/m ³ FCB, (d) 1550 kg/m ³ B-FCB, (e) 1800 kg/m ³ FCB and (f) 1800 kg/m ³ B-FCB	138
5.22	EDX analysis compared between FCB and B-FCB at 28 days with following density (A) 1300 kg/m ³ FCB, (B) 1300 kg/m ³ B-FCB, (C) 1550 kg/m ³ FCB, (D) 1550 kg/m ³ B-FCB, (E) 1800 kg/m ³ FCB and (F) 1800 kg/m ³ B-FCB	141

5.23 EDX analysis compared between FCB and B-FCB at 28 days with following density (A) 1300 kg/m³ FCB, (B) 1300 kg/m³ B-FCB, (C) 1550 kg/m³ FCB, (D) 1550 kg/m³ B-FCB, (E) 1800 kg/m³ FCB and (F) 1800 kg/m³ B-FCB

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of study

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) concentration has increased in the current century compared to previous times due to increased anthropogenic activities and emissions sources [1]. The top four sources of atmospheric CO₂ emissions include; energy, transportation, industry and residential which emitted CO₂ by 41 %, 22 %, 20 % and 7 % respectively [2]. The current concentration of CO₂ has exceeded 400 ppm worldwide and is predicted to reach 650 ppm at the end of the century. This scenario is due to increasing anthropogenic activities and fewer developments of CO₂ sequestrations system [3]. Several technologies, including geological sequestration, chemical absorption, physical separation, membrane separation and biological fixation have been suggested to sequester atmospheric CO₂ [4][5]. Geological sequestration is the leading technology and represents the most useful approach to store large amounts of CO₂ underground or deep in the ocean [6][7]. However, the geological sequestration process is costly and slow in comparison to the amount of CO₂ released daily [8]. Therefore, the application of natural sequestration methods is urgently needed to reduce CO₂ and air pollution with consideration of the economic aspects.

Nowadays, the biological sequestration technique has attracted many types of research. These methods aim to evaluate the efficiency in removing CO₂ because the biological process is cheap and eco-friendly compared to geological sequestration technique [9]. Bio-sequestration is conducted by bacteria which has carbon anhydrase (CA) and urease enzymes, which act to accelerate CO₂ conversion to calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) and magnesium carbonate (MgCO₃) through bio-mineralization [10]. This process occurs through the actions of bacteria in the soil that sequester CO₂. However, the chemical properties of the soil may negatively affect bio-sequestration [11].

Therefore, the process might be accelerated using the bio-augmentation process in which the bacteria is inoculated into the sequestration system.

Concrete play an important role on increase CO₂ concentration because concrete is the second most used material in the world after water. Whereas, the total generation of concrete raw materials ranges from 11.4 to 12.6 billion tons annually [12]. However, the increasing of concrete production confidently increases of cement content, which consider as one of the main sources of CO₂ production. That's because production of one ton of Portland cement clinker manufacture is responsible for releasing about one ton of CO₂ in atmospheric [13]. However, concrete could be used as CO₂ reservoir if carbonation process of concrete accelerates and can reduce the emitted CO₂ from cement factors if cement content is replaced via other pozzolanic materials such as; fly ash, coal ash, biomass ash, palm oil ash, rice husk ash and limestone powder est., to minimize the energy consumption and greenhouse gasses emission [14][15][16][17][18]. Therefore, minimization of CO₂ amount in atmospheric, can be done by decrease the emission of CO₂ that coming from cement factors and other industrials sources or by sequestration the amount of CO₂ that already available in the atmospheric via acceleration carbonation process in concrete.

Carbonation of concrete could be one of the natural ways that may contribute on sequestration process, which has the ability to sequestrate CO₂ by reaction of Portland cement in the presence of moisture in the form CaCO₃ [19]. However, carbonation process is very slow and depends on chemical and physical properties of solid also on exposure conditions of the concrete. In more details, concentration of CO₂, relative humidity, types and size of materials, pH value, concrete porosity, water cement ratio, temperature and surface area all are factors affect carbonation process [20][21]. Therefore, the factors restricting carbonation process must be known if there is an intention to use carbonation as a natural way to sequestrate CO₂ in concrete. However, carbonation may affect the reinforcement and cause corrosion. When the pH value drop in concrete the passive protective oxide film around the steel exposed to destroy resulted steel corrosion [22]. The high depth of carbonation occurred with higher drop of pH value. Therefore, pH value can be used as prediction factor of carbonation process. Therefore, foamed concrete bricks (FCB) could be one of the appropriate applications to sequestrate high amount of CO₂ via acceleration of carbonation process because there is no steel in FCB and it has high level of porosity. However, natural carbonation is very slow as demonstrate in the previous paragraph.

Therefore, the used of bacteria in FCB to produce new type of concrete bricks namely bio-foamed concrete bricks (B-FCB) could be more useful to accelerate carbonation process, which can be considered as new application of biological sequestration. According to previous studies in bio-concrete technology, numerous bacterial species such as; *Bacillus pasteurii*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *B. alkalinitrilicus*, *B. sphaericus*, *B. subtilis*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Shewanella* sp., *S. pasteurii* and *Ureolytic* bacteria have been applied to enhance bio-concrete properties via precipitation of CaCO_3 in the pores [23][24][25][26][27][28][29]. The selected bacterial strain should have the ability to produce carbonic anhydrase (CA) and urease enzymes in the concrete to accelerate the carbonation process and CO_2 sequestration [30].

The previous statements give a brief discussion about the effect CO_2 emission to the environment and the role of cement production on increasing CO_2 emissions. At the same time, the technology used to sequestrate CO_2 is not enough to sequestrate the available CO_2 in atmospheric. Therefore, the use of biological sequestration to accelerate carbonation process in B-FCB could be one of the mitigation techniques used to sequestrate CO_2 in the future. Therefore, the factors affecting carbonation process as well as the factors affecting growth activity in B-FCB must be in consideration. Furthermore, bacteria should have the ability to produce CA and urease enzyme, which have the ability to capture and immobilize CO_2 from atmospheric in the form of CaCO_3 . This approach is to find the optimisation of CO_2 sequestration into B-FCB via carbonation process.

1.2 Problem Statement

The emission of CO_2 into the atmosphere increase worldwide. While, the sequestration techniques used such as planting, geological and biological sequestration are not sufficient to sequestrate the emitted CO_2 . Geological direction has the ability to sequestrate high amount of CO_2 , however, the process of this type takes long time and very expensive in comparison with biological sequestration [4][31][32][33]. Therefore, biological sequestration is recently used worldwide because it is considered as natural way to sequestrate CO_2 using microorganisms especially those that have the ability to produce CA or urease enzymes [34][35].

This finding suggest that bacteria has high potential to use bio-concrete as new application of biological sequestration. Because, bacteria has the ability to produce CA and urease enzymes, which in role help on accelerate carbonation process and sequesterate CO_2 from the atmosphere and precipitate it in the form of CaCO_3 in concrete pores [36]. However, most of the researchers consider carbonation as a serious problem for the concrete because it decreases the acidity in concrete to about 9 pH, which destroys the passive oxide film leaving the steel exposed to corrosion [1][37]. Therefore, most of the researchers in bio-concrete technology realized the great potential of bacteria in bio-concrete such as increment of compressive strength through self-healing process of porosity. Nonetheless, they did not report the ability of bacteria to accelerate carbonation process because the increase of carbonation may lead to corrosion of concrete bars [38]. Thus, this research applied the use of bacteria that has the ability to produce CA and urease enzymes to accelerate carbonation process and enhance the properties of B-FCB.

On the other hand, the extreme pH and anaerobic conditions are considered as unsuitable environment for bacteria within the concrete. Due to this challenge, microcapsules were used as bacterial carriers in the concrete to resist high pH of concrete and sensitive humidity [36][39][40]. Other researchers attempts to solve the problem by protecting the bacteria from strong alkaline condition in concrete by immobilizing the bacteria in silica gel [41]. Bacteria can also be isolated in high alkaline and anaerobic conditions to acclimatize in concrete environment which is added to bio-concrete mixture in the form of liquid (*liquid culture*) [42]. However, the used of liquid culture which consist nutrient growth may be reactive to other bacteria in concrete materials. Accordingly, bacteria should have the ability to survive in B-FCB conditions to be able to accelerate carbonation process. In addition, there are many factors affecting carbonation of concrete, which are divided into three categories as follow; physical properties of the solid, chemical properties of the materials and external conditions of the environment [43]. The density of concrete and curing conditions such as temperature and CO_2 concentration are the most factors affection the carbonation in concrete [21][44]. Therefore, the effect of bacteria and the other factors affecting carbonation of B-FCB must be studied and consider as main factors to optimize sequestration of CO_2 using B-FCB.

The statements above illustrates that, the use of bacterial species in B-FCB systems has a potential biological sequesterate of CO_2 and can be considered as a future

strategy to reduce the high CO₂ pollution. Bacterial cells can capture CO₂ by accelerating the carbonation processes, which convert CO₂ to calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) via carbon anhydrase enzyme and indirect role for urease. Moreover, the stress environmental conditions in the B-FCB require more attention to find selected bacterial strain, which has high potential to survive and grow in these conditions.

1.3 Objectives of research

This research is aimed at studying the potential of B-FCB to sequestrate CO₂ from the atmosphere into concrete pores by carbonation process. The study sets out to achieve its aim based on the following objectives:

1. To identify the most potent bacteria strain from cement kiln dust (CKD) for CO₂ sequestration in B-FCB.
2. To optimize the compressive strength and CO₂ sequestration by carbonation depth in B-FCB.
3. To propose the empirical models for optimum CO₂ sequestration and compressive strength of B-FCB.
4. To evaluate the compressive strength and physical properties, and morphology of microstructure of FCB and B-FCB after the CO₂ sequestration process.

1.4 Scope of study

This research is based on the experimental work on the use of bacteria in bio-foamed concrete in order to sequestrate CO₂ and improve properties of B-FCB. Laboratory activities conducted in environmental and material labs with six phases of study.

Phase 1: The activities involved collection of bacteria samples. The samples were collected from cement kiln dust, which located in Cement Industries of Malaysia Berhad (CIMA) to be able to adopt on foamed concrete environment and high concentration of CO₂.

Phase 2: This phase aimed to isolate the bacteria from the collected samples in environmental lab to acclimatize on B-FCB environment. The medium selected for isolate bacteria colonies and purified according to Al-Gheethi [45], whereas, the

incubation process was conducted at 30 °C. Gram stain method used to confirm the purification of bacterial cells.

Phase 3: This phase focused on selecting the most potent bacteria. Whereas, several assays conducted to select the most potent bacteria such as; enzymatic assays to confirm the ability of bacteria to produce CA and urease enzymes, measure the abundant of bacteria growth, observed the growth of bacteria with high level of CO₂ and growth of isolated bacteria in simulation medium of B-FC. The assay of CA enzyme among the bacterial isolates was carried out using tubes technique on culture Trypticase Soy Agar medium (TSA). On the other hand, the potential of isolate bacteria to produce urease enzyme tested following the description by Benson [46]. The last step in this phase is preparation and autoclaved synthetic medium containing the foamed concrete materials as follow; water, sand, cement and foamed agent to simulate the B-FCB environment and make sure of bacteria growth. Finally, produce bacteria in powder form using dry freezing method was produced.

Phase 4: This phase concentrated to study plastic stage of foamed concrete with and without bacteria (workability). Therefore, workability measured using fresh density test and inverted slump test according to ASTM C995, 2001. After that, optimisation of compressive strength and carbonation depth were analyzed. Screaming stage started with 11 runs as suggested by 2^k factorial design using Minitab 18 software to study the significant effect of the following factors; CO₂ concentration, *B.tequilensis* concentration, temperature (T) and B-FCB density (D) to compressive strength and carbonation depth of B-FCB. RSM method also suggested more 10 runs to optimize compressive strength and carbonation depth of B-FCB. Therefore, the total runs used in this research was 21 runs.

Phase 5: This phase focused on propose empirical model equation for CO₂ sequestration by carbonation depth and the compressive strength of B-FCB, which improved using RSM methods in Minitab 18.

Phase 6: This phase aimed to study hardening stage of FCB and B-FCB specimens with size (215 mm x 100 mm x 65 mm) which prepared for compressive strength, initial water absorption, water absorption and carbonation depth according to the following standards; BS12390 – 6:2000, BS 12390 – 3:2002, BS 12390 – 8:2000 respectively [41-43]. The curing process of tests specimens conducted on dry condition in side chamber with different concentration of CO₂ and temperature °C according to the suggested conditions via 2^k factorial and RSM method.

Phase 7: This phase carried out the microstructure analysis of the samples of FCB and B-FCB. Microstructure analysis conducted in this study by the following tests; energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) to evaluate the change in chemical elements, X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD) to evaluate the percentage of crystallinity and scanning electronic microscopy (SEM) to investigate healing process of the pores [47][5][48].

1.5 Significance of study

Previous studies shown that, there is no much or non-study on CO₂ sequestration into bio-concrete. Therefore, the novel idea in this research is the used of *B.tequilensis*, which has the ability to produce CA and urease enzymes and apply in B-FCB as one option of natural CO₂ sequestration. The selection of CKD as *B.tequilensis* source samples were due to the following reasons; the environment in cement factory is very rich of CO₂ and the samples of CKD almost contains the same chemical composition of cement. These two reasons help on produce bacteria capable to adopt in B-FCB environment and has the ability to accelerate sequestration of CO₂. On the other hand, some of the previous studies used bacteria in the form of liquid media which consist of nutrient broth, whereas, the availability of nutrient broth in bio-concrete mixture may re-active other bacteria that naturally available in concrete materials to grow, which may affect the properties of bio-concrete. Therefore, the used of *B.tequilensis* in powder form was to avoid the growth of other bacteria and help on controlled the concentration of *B.tequilensis* cell in concrete mixture. Storage of CO₂ in B-FCB via *B.tequilensis* may help to increase the precipitate of CaCO₃, which in role help to improve B-FCB properties via healing process. Therefore, this research aimed to contribute on reducing of CO₂ from atmospheric at the same time enhance B-FCB properties.

1.6 Organization of thesis

This thesis mainly consists of six chapters which are illustrated as follow:

Chapter one, presents the background of study, problem statement, objectives of study, scope of work and significance of study.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Karthikeyan, S. Rajeswari, S. Maruthamuthu, K. Subramanian and G. Rajagopal, "Biogenic ammonia for CO₂ capturing and electrochemical conversion into bicarbonate and formate," *J. CO₂ Util.*, vol. 6, pp. 53–61, 2014.
- [2] P. Nejat, F. Jomehzadeh, M. M. Taheri, M. Gohari and M. Z. Muhd, "A global review of energy consumption, CO₂ emissions and policy in the residential sector (with an overview of the top ten CO₂ emitting countries)," *Renew. and Sustain. Ener. Rev.*, vol. 43. Pergamon, pp. 843–862, 2015.
- [3] J. Fang, G. Yu, L. Liu, S. Hu and F. S. Chapin, "Climate change, human impacts, and carbon sequestration in China," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, vol. 115, no. 16, pp. 4015–4020, 2018.
- [4] D. Y. C. Leung, G. Caramanna and M. M. Maroto-Valer, "An overview of current status of carbon dioxide capture and storage technologies," *Renew. and Sustain. Ener. Rev.*, vol. 39. pp. 426–443, 2014.
- [5] Ahn, C. K. Lee, H. W. Chang, Y. S. Han, K. Kim, J. Y. Rhee, C. H. Chun, H. D. Lee, M. W. Park and J. Moon, "Characterization of ammonia-based CO₂ capture process using ion speciation," *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 1606–1613, 2011.
- [6] D. R. Harp, C. M. Oldenburg and R. Pawar, "A metric for evaluating conformance robustness during geologic CO₂ sequestration operations," *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control*, vol. 85, pp. 100–108, 2019.
- [7] B. Chen, D. R. Harp, Y. Lin, E. H. Keating and R. J. Pawar, "Geologic CO₂ sequestration monitoring design: A machine learning and uncertainty quantification based approach," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 225, pp. 332–345, 2018.
- [8] S. Mo, X. Shi, D. Lu, M. Ye and J. Wu, "An adaptive Kriging surrogate method for efficient uncertainty quantification with an application to geological carbon sequestration modeling," *Comput. Geosci.*, vol. 125, pp. 69–77, 2019.

- [9] N. K. Arora, "Bioremediation: a green approach for restoration of polluted ecosystems," *Environ. Sustain.*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 305–307, 2018.
- [10] I. M. Power, A. L. Harrison, G. M. Dipple and G. Southam, "Carbon sequestration via carbonic anhydrase facilitated magnesium carbonate precipitation," *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control*, vol. 16, pp. 145–155, 2013.
- [11] D. H. Wang, L. Zhang, Y. Fu, X. Tsang, Y. Wu, J. Le and Yiquan, "Variable decomposition of two plant litters and their effects on the carbon sequestration ability of wetland soil in the Yangtze River estuary," *Geoderma*, vol. 319, no. October 2017, pp. 230–238, 2018.
- [12] C. Meyer, "The greening of the concrete industry," *Cem. Concr. Compos.*, vol. 31, no. 8, pp. 601–605, 2009.
- [13] C. Edser, "Reducing the environmental impact of laundry," *Focus Surfactants*, vol. 2005, no. 4, pp. 1–2, 2005.
- [14] R. Drochytka and E. Helanová, "Development of microstructure of the fly ash aerated concrete in time," *Proc. Eng.*, 2015, vol. 108, pp. 624–631.
- [15] J. G. Jang, H. J. Kim, H. K. Kim and H. K. Lee, "Resistance of coal bottom ash mortar against the coupled deterioration of carbonation and chloride penetration," *Mater. Des.*, vol. 93, pp. 160–167, Mar. 2016.
- [16] N. Ranjbar, A. Behnia, B. Alsubari, P. Moradi Birgani and M. Z. Jumaat, "Durability and mechanical properties of self-compacting concrete incorporating palm oil fuel ash," *J. Clean. Prod.*, vol. 112, pp. 723–730, 2016.
- [17] J. Torkaman, A. Ashori and A. S. Momtazi, "Using wood fiber waste, rice husk ash, and limestone powder waste as cement replacement materials for lightweight concrete blocks," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 50, pp. 432–436, 2014.
- [18] D. K. Panesar and J. Francis, "Influence of limestone and slag on the pore structure of cement paste based on mercury intrusion porosimetry and water vapour sorption measurements," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 52, pp. 52–58, 2014.
- [19] E. Gal and R. Kryvoruk, "Properties of concrete," *Comput. Model. of Conctr. Struct.*, 2010, pp. 137–144.
- [20] S. Kashef-Haghighi and S. Ghoshal, "CO₂ sequestration in concrete through accelerated carbonation curing in a flow-through reactor," *Indus. and Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2010, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 1143–1149.
- [21] S. O. Ekolu, "A review on effects of curing, sheltering, and CO₂ concentration

- upon natural carbonation of concrete,” *Constr. and Build. Materi*, vol. 127. Elsevier Ltd, pp. 306–320, 2016.
- [22] B. Johannesson and P. Utgenannt, “Microstructural changes caused by carbonation of cement mortar,” *Cem. Concr. Res.*, vol. 31, no. 6, pp. 925–931, 2001.
- [23] Sathya, A. Bhuvaneshwari, P. Niranjana and G. Vishveswaran, “Calcite Precipitation Induced By Polyurethane Immobilized *Bacillus Pasteurii*,” *Enzyme Microb. Technol.*, vol. 28, pp. 404–409, 2001.
- [24] A. Shukla, P. Parmar and M. Saraf, “Radiation, radionuclides and bacteria: An in-perspective review,” *Jour. of Enviro. Radioact.*, vol. 180. Elsevier, pp. 27–35, 2017.
- [25] L. Li, Q. Zheng, Z. Li, A. Ashour and B. Han, “Bacterial technology-enabled cementitious composites: A review,” *Compos. Struct.*, vol. 225, p. 111170, 2019.
- [26] M. Seifan and A. Berenjian, “Application of microbially induced calcium carbonate precipitation in designing bio self-healing concrete,” *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, vol. 34, no. 11, p. 168, 2018.
- [27] M. J. Castro-Alonso, L. E. Montañez-Hernandez, M. A. Sanchez-Muñoz, M. R. M. Franco, R. Narayanasamy and N. Balagurusamy, “Microbially Induced Calcium Carbonate Precipitation (MICP) and Its Potential in Bioconcrete: Microbiological and Molecular Concepts,” *Front. Mater.*, vol. 6, 2019.
- [28] M. Q. Marashdeh, R. Gitalis, C. Levesque and Y. Finer, “*Enterococcus faecalis* Hydrolyzes Dental Resin Composites and Adhesives,” *J. Endod.*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 609–613, 2018.
- [29] Alonso, M. J. C. Ortiz, C. E. L. Perez, S. O. G. Narayanasamy, R. F. S. Miguel, G. D. J. Hernández, H. H. Balagurusamy and Nagamani, “Improved strength and durability of concrete through metabolic activity of ureolytic bacteria,” *Enviro. Scie. and Pollu. Rese*, pp. 1–8, 2017.
- [30] Alonso, M. J. C. Ortiz, C. E. L. Perez, S. O. G. Narayanasamy, R. F. S. Miguel, G. D. J. Hernández, H. H. Balagurusamy and Nagamani, “Bio-sequestration of carbon dioxide using carbonic anhydrase enzyme purified from *Citrobacter freundii*,” *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 981–987, 2009.
- [31] M. Jafari, S. C. Cao and J. Jung, “Geological CO₂ sequestration in saline aquifers: Implication on potential solutions of China’s power sector,” *Resour.*

Conserv. Recycl., vol. 121, pp. 137–155, 2017.

- [32] K. M. K. Yu, I. Curcic, J. Gabriel and S. C. E. Tsang, “Recent advances in CO₂ capture and utilization,” *Chem. Sus. Chem*, vol. 1, no. 11. pp. 893–899, 2008.
- [33] B. A. Seibel and P. J. Walsh, “Potential impacts of CO₂ injection on deep-sea biots,” *Science (80)*., vol. 294, no. 5541, pp. 319–320, 2001.
- [34] Yadav, R. R. Mudliar, S. N. Shekh, A. Y. Fulke, A. B. Devi, S. S. Krishnamurthi, K. Juwarkar, A. Chakrabarti and Tapan, “Immobilization of carbonic anhydrase in alginate and its influence on transformation of CO₂ to calcite,” *Proc. Bioch.*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 585–590, 2012.
- [35] Fulke, A. B. Mudliar, S. N. Yadav, R. Shekh, A. Srinivasan, N. Ramanan, R. Krishnamurthi, K. Devi and S and Chakrabarti. “Bio-mitigation of CO₂, calcite formation and simultaneous biodiesel precursors production using *Chlorella* sp.,” *Biorec. Technol.*, vol. 101, no. 21, pp. 8473–8476, 2010.
- [36] G. Kaur, N. K. Dhami, S. Goyal, A. Mukherjee, and M. S. and Reddy, “Utilization of carbon dioxide as an alternative to urea in biocementation,” *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 123, pp. 527–533, 2016.
- [37] I. G. Kim, B. H. Jo, D. G. Kang, C. S. Kim, Y. S. Choi and H. J. Cha, “Biom mineralization-based conversion of carbon dioxide to calcium carbonate using recombinant carbonic anhydrase,” *Chemosphere*, vol. 87, no. 10, pp. 1091–1096, 2012.
- [38] S. M. Al-Thawadi, “Ureolytic bacteria and calcium carbonate formation as a mechanism of strength enhancement of sand,” *J. Adv. Sci. Eng. Res.*, vol. 1, no. SEPTEMBER 2011, pp. 98–114, 2011.
- [39] K. P. Kota, R. K. Kota, J. B. Dulla and A. P. Karlapudi, “Bioconcrete enhancement from biofilm producing marine bacterium,” *Int. J. Pharm. Sci. Rev. Res.*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 276–279, 2014.
- [40] J. Wang, J. Dewanckele, V. Cnudde, S. Van Vlierberghe, W. Verstraete and N. De Belie, “X-ray computed tomography proof of bacterial-based self-healing in concrete,” *Cem. Concr. Compos.*, vol. 53, pp. 289–304, 2014.
- [41] K. Van Tittelboom, N. De Belie, W. De Muynck and W. Verstraete, “Use of bacteria to repair cracks in concrete,” *Cem. Concr. Res.*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 157–166, 2010.
- [42] J. M. Irwan, L. H. Anneza, N. Othman, A. F. Alshalif and M. M. Zamer, “Calcium Lactate addition in Bioconcrete : Effect on Compressive strength and

- Water penetration,” *Matec. Web. Conf.*, vol. 78, p. 01027, 2016.
- [43] A. F. Alshalif, J. M. Irwan, N. Othman, M. M. Zamer and L. H. Anneza, “Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) Sequestration in Bio-Concrete, An Overview,” in *Matec. Web. of Conferences*, vol. 103, no. 103, pp. 2–9, 2017.
- [44] S.Y. Pan, “CO₂ Capture by Accelerated Carbonation of Alkaline Wastes: A Review on Its Principles and Applications,” *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.*, pp. 770–791, 2012.
- [45] A. A. S. Al-Gheethi, I. Norli, J. Lalung, A. M. Azlan, Z. A. N. Farehah and M. O. A. Kadir, “Biosorption of heavy metals and cephalixin from secondary effluents by tolerant bacteria,” *Clean Technol. Environ. Policy*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 137–148, 2014.
- [46] H. J. Benson, *Microbial Applications A Laboratory Manual in General Microbiology*. 2001.
- [47] K. Ganesan, K. Rajagopal and K. Thangavel, “Rice husk ash blended cement: Assessment of optimal level of replacement for strength and permeability properties of concrete,” *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 22, no. 8, pp. 1675–1683, 2008.
- [48] T. Gonen and S. Yazicioglu, “The influence of compaction pores on sorptivity and carbonation of concrete,” *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 1040–1045, 2007.
- [49] S. K. Ramachandran, V. Ramakrishnan and S. S. Bang, “Remediation of concrete using micro-organisms,” *ACI Mater. J.*, vol. 98, no. 1, pp. 3–9, 2001.
- [50] I. S. Yoon, O. Çopuroğlu and K. B. Park, “Effect of global climatic change on carbonation progress of concrete,” *Atmos. Environ.*, vol. 41, no. 34, pp. 7274–7285, 2007.
- [51] G. Marland and T. Boden, “The Increasing Concentration of Atmospheric CO₂: How Much, When, and Why?,” *Int. Semin. Nucl. War Planet. Emergencies 26th Sess.*, vol. 2000, pp. 283–295, 2002.
- [52] D. J. Griggs and M. Noguer, “Climate change 2001: The scientific basis. Contribution of working group I to the third assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change,” *Weather*, vol. 57, no. 8, pp. 267–269, 2002.
- [53] C. L. Quéré, M. R. raupach, J. g. Canadell and G. Marland, “Trends in the sources and sinks of carbon dioxide,” *Nat. Geosci.*, vol. 2, no. 12, pp. 831–836,

2009.

- [54] J. Jiang, Z. Lu, Y. Niu, J. Li and Y. Zhang, "Study on the preparation and properties of high-porosity foamed concretes based on ordinary Portland cement," *Mater. Des.*, vol. 92, pp. 949–959, 2016.
- [55] E. Benhelal, G. Zahedi, E. Shamsaei and A. Bahadori, "Global strategies and potentials to curb CO₂ emissions in cement industry," *J. Clean. Prod.*, vol. 51, pp. 142–161, 2013.
- [56] G. Habert, C. Billard, P. Rossi, C. Chen and N. Roussel, "Cement production technology improvement compared to factor 4 objectives," *Cem. Concr. Res.*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 820–826, 2010.
- [57] S. I. Mustapa and H. A. Bekhet, "Analysis of CO₂ emissions reduction in the Malaysian transportation sector: An optimisation approach," *Energy Policy*, vol. 89, pp. 171–183, 2016.
- [58] P. H. Shaikh, N. B. M. Nor, A. A. Sahito, P. Nallagownden, I. Elamvazuthi and M. S. Shaikh, "Building energy for sustainable development in Malaysia: A review," *Renew. and Sustain. Ener. Rev*, vol. 75, no. August 2016. pp. 1392–1403, 2017.
- [59] T. N. A. Raja Mamat, M. Z. M. Saman, S. Sharif and V. Simic, "Key success factors in establishing end-of-life vehicle management system: A primer for Malaysia," *J. Clean. Prod.*, vol. 135, pp. 1289–1297, 2016.
- [60] A. H. Jafar, A. Q. Al-Amin and C. Siwar, "Environmental impact of alternative fuel mix in electricity generation in Malaysia," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 33, no. 10, pp. 2229–2235, 2008.
- [61] H. C. Ong, T. M. I. Mahlia and H. H. Masjuki, "A review on energy pattern and policy for transportation sector in Malaysia," *Renew. and Sustain. Ener. Rev*, vol. 16, no. 1. pp. 532–542, 2012.
- [62] H. H. Masjuki, T. M. I. Mahlia, I. A. Choudhury and R. Saidur, "Potential CO₂ reduction by fuel substitution to generate electricity in Malaysia," *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 43, no. 6, pp. 763–770, 2002.
- [63] F. Neele, H. A. Haugen and R. Skagestad, "Ship transport of CO₂ Breaking the CO₂-EOR deadlock," *Energy Procedia*, 2014, vol. 63, pp. 2638–2644.
- [64] L. Gao, M. Fang, H. Li and J. Hetland, "Cost Analysis of CO₂ Transportation: Case Study in China," *Energy Procedia*, vol. GHGT-10, pp. 5974–5981, 2011.
- [65] M. D. Aminu, S. A. Nabavi, C. A. Rochelle and V. Manovic, "A review of

- developments in carbon dioxide storage,” *Applied Energy*, vol. 208. Elsevier, pp. 1389–1419, 15-Dec-2017.
- [66] Y. Tao, B. Guo, K. W. Bandilla and M. A. Celia, “Vertically integrated dual-continuum models for CO₂ injection in fractured geological formations,” *Comput. Geosci.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 273–284, 2019.
- [67] D. Y. C. Leung, G. Caramanna and M. M. Maroto-Valer, “An overview of current status of carbon dioxide capture and storage technologies,” *Renew. and Sustain. Ener. Rev.*, vol. 39. pp. 426–443, Nov-2014.
- [68] H. E. Huppert and J. A. Neufeld, “The Fluid Mechanics of Carbon Dioxide Sequestration,” *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 255–272, 2014.
- [69] Goldberg, D. Aston, L. Bonneville, A. Demirkanli, I. Evans, C. Fisher, A. Garcia, H. Gerrard, M. Heesemann, M. Hnottavange-Telleen, K. Hsu, E. Malinverno, C. Moran, K. Park, A. H. A. Scherwath, M. Slagle, A. Stute, M. Weathers, T. Webb, R. White, M. White and Signe, “Geological storage of CO₂ in sub-seafloor basalt: The CarbonSAFE pre-feasibility study offshore Washington State and British Columbia,” *Energy Procedia*, vol. 146, pp. 158–165, 2018.
- [70] S. A. Mathias, J. G. Gluyas, W. H. Goldthorpe and E. J. Mackay, “Impact of Maximum Allowable Cost on CO₂ Storage Capacity in Saline Formations,” *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 49, no. 22, pp. 13510–13518, 2015.
- [71] W. Li, W. S. Chen, P.-P. Zhou and L. J. Yu, “Influence of enzyme concentration on bio-sequestration of CO₂ in carbonate form using bacterial carbonic anhydrase,” *Chem. Eng. J.*, vol. 232, pp. 149–156, 2013.
- [72] A. Idi, M. H. Md Nor, M. F. Abdul Wahab and Z. Ibrahim, “Photosynthetic bacteria: an eco-friendly and cheap tool for bioremediation,” *Rev. Environ. Sci. Biotechnol.*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 271–285, 2015.
- [73] Ramanan, R. Kannan, K. Sivanesan, S. D. Mudliar, S. Kaur, S. Tripathi, A. K. Chakrabarti and Tapan, “Bio-sequestration of carbon dioxide using carbonic anhydrase enzyme purified from *Citrobacter freundii*,” *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 981–987, 2009.
- [74] P. Jaya, V. K. Nathan and P. Ammini, “Characterization of marine bacterial carbonic anhydrase and their CO₂ sequestration abilities based on a soil microcosm,” *Prep. Biochem. Biotechnol.*, vol. 49, no. 9, pp. 891–899, 2019.
- [75] N. R. Mohamad, N. H. C. Marzuki, N. A. Buang, F. Huyop and R. A. Wahab,

- “An overview of technologies for immobilization of enzymes and surface analysis techniques for immobilized enzymes,” *Biotech. Equip.*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 205–220, 2015.
- [76] F. R. H. Abdeen, M. Mel, M. S. Jami, S. I. Ihsan and A. F. Ismail, “A review of chemical absorption of carbon dioxide for biogas upgrading,” *Chinese J. Chem. Eng.*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 693–702, 2016.
- [77] P. Mirjafari, K. Asghari and N. Mahinpey, “Investigating the application of enzyme carbonic anhydrase for CO₂ sequestration purposes,” *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 921–926, 2007.
- [78] W. Li, W. S. Chen, P. P. Zhou, L. Cao and L. J. Yu, “Influence of initial pH on the precipitation and crystal morphology of calcium carbonate induced by microbial carbonic anhydrase,” *Colloids Surfaces B Biointerfaces*, vol. 102, pp. 281–287, 2013.
- [79] G. Palareti, C. Legnani, B. Cosmi, E. Antonucci, N. Erba, D. Poli, S. Testa and A. Tosetto, “Comparison between different D-Dimer cutoff values to assess the individual risk of recurrent venous thromboembolism: Analysis of results obtained in the DULCIS study,” *Int. J. Lab. Hematol.*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 42–49, 2016.
- [80] A. Z. Mendes, R. A. Medeiros-Junior and G. d. S. Munhoz, “Effect of the corrosion degree and the carbonation depth on the electrical resistivity, ultrasonic pulse velocity and corrosion potential,” *J. Build. Pathol. Rehabil.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 2019.
- [81] J. G. Jang, G. M. Kim, H. J. Kim and H. K. Lee, “Review on recent advances in CO₂ utilization and sequestration technologies in cement-based materials,” *Constr. and Build. Mater.*, vol. 127. Elsevier Ltd, pp. 762–773, 2016.
- [82] P. Zhang and Q. F. Li, “Effect of polypropylene fiber on durability of concrete composite containing fly ash and silica fume,” *Compos. Part B Eng.*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 1587–1594, 2013.
- [83] P. Tang, D. Xuan, H. W. Cheng, C. S. Poon and D. C. W. Tsang, “Use of CO₂ curing to enhance the properties of cold bonded lightweight aggregates (CBLAs) produced with concrete slurry waste (CSW) and fine incineration bottom ash (IBA),” *J. Hazard. Mater.*, vol. 381, no. June 2019, p. 120951, 2020.
- [84] L. Qin and X. Gao, “Recycling of waste autoclaved aerated concrete powder in Portland cement by accelerated carbonation,” *Waste Manag.*, vol. 89, pp. 254–

264, 2019.

- [85] I. Galan, C. Andrade, P. Mora and M. A. Sanjuan, "Sequestration of CO₂ by concrete carbonation," *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 44, no. 8, pp. 3181–3186, 2010.
- [86] G. Montes-Hernandez, R. Pérez-López, F. Renard, J. M. Nieto and L. Charlet, "Mineral sequestration of CO₂ by aqueous carbonation of coal combustion fly-ash," *J. Hazard. Mater.*, vol. 161, no. 2–3, pp. 1347–1354, 2009.
- [87] B. Lu, C. Shi, Z. Cao, M. Guo and J. Zheng, "Effect of carbonated coarse recycled concrete aggregate on the properties and microstructure of recycled concrete," *J. Clean. Prod.*, vol. 233, pp. 421–428, 2019.
- [88] A. Morandeau, M. Thiéry and P. Dangla, "Investigation of the carbonation mechanism of CH and C-S-H in terms of kinetics, microstructure changes and moisture properties," *Cem. Concr. Res.*, vol. 56, pp. 153–170, 2014.
- [89] M. Fernández Bertos, S. J. R. Simons, C. D. Hills and P. J. Carey, "A review of accelerated carbonation technology in the treatment of cement-based materials and sequestration of CO₂," *J. Hazard. Mater.*, vol. 112, no. 3, pp. 193–205, 2004.
- [90] Muriithi, G. N. Petrik, L. F. Fatoba, O. Gitari, W. M. Doucet, F. J. Nel, J. Nyale, S. M. Chuks and E. Paul, "Comparison of CO₂ capture by ex-situ accelerated carbonation and in in-situ naturally weathered coal fly ash," *J. Environ. Manage.*, vol. 127, pp. 212–220, 2013.
- [91] Y. Kellouche, B. Boukhatem, M. Ghrici and A. Tagnit-Hamou, "Exploring the major factors affecting fly-ash concrete carbonation using artificial neural network," *Neural Comput. Appl.*, vol. 31, pp. 969–988, 2019.
- [92] I. K. Harith, "Study on polyurethane foamed concrete for use in structural applications," *Case Stud. Constr. Mater.*, vol. 8, pp. 79–86, 2018.
- [93] B. L. d. S. Costa, J. C. d. O. Freitas, D. M. d. A. Melo, R. G. d. S. Araujo, Y. H. d. Oliveira and C. A. Simão, "Evaluation of density influence on resistance to carbonation process in oil well cement slurries," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 197, pp. 331–338, 2019.
- [94] D. Zhang, Z. Ghoulleh and Y. Shao, "Review on carbonation curing of cement-based materials," *J. of CO₂ Utiliz.*, vol. 21, no. July. pp. 119–131, 2017.
- [95] M. Dri, A. Sanna and M. M. Maroto-Valer, "Mineral carbonation from metal wastes: Effect of solid to liquid ratio on the efficiency and characterization of

- carbonated products,” *Appl. Energy*, vol. 113, pp. 515–523, 2014.
- [96] E. E. Chang, S. Y. Pan, Y. H. Chen, C. S. Tan and P. C. Chiang, “Accelerated carbonation of steelmaking slags in a high-gravity rotating packed bed,” *J. Hazard. Mater.*, vol. 227–228, pp. 97–106, 2012.
- [97] J. Chang, D. Wang and Y. Fang, “Effects of mineralogical changes in BOFS during carbonation on pH and Ca and Si leaching,” *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 192, pp. 584–592, 2018.
- [98] S. F. Santos, R. Schmidt, A. E. F. S. Almeida, G. H. D. Tonoli and H. Savastano, “Supercritical carbonation treatment on extruded fibre-cement reinforced with vegetable fibres,” *Cem. Concr. Compos.*, vol. 56, pp. 84–94, Feb. 2015.
- [99] V. Rheinheimer, S. R. Chae, E. D. Rodríguez, G. Geng, A. P. Kirchheim and P. J. M. Monteiro, “A scanning transmission X-ray microscopy study of cubic and orthorhombic C_3A and their hydration products in the presence of gypsum,” *Materials (Basel)*, vol. 9, 2016.
- [100] M. Yu, H. Bao, J. Ye and Y. Chi, “The effect of random porosity field on supercritical carbonation of cement-based materials,” *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 146, pp. 144–155, 2017.
- [101] Xi, F. Davis, S. J. Ciais, P. Crawford-Brown, D. Guan, D. Pade, C. Shi, T. Syddall, M. Lv, J. Ji, L. Bing, L. Wang, J. Wei, W. Yang, K. H. Lagerblad, B. Galan, I. Andrade, C. Zhang, Y. Liu and Zhu, “Substantial global carbon uptake by cement carbonation,” *Nat. Geosci.*, vol. 9, no. 12, pp. 880–883, 2016.
- [102] R. V. Silva, R. Neves, J. De Brito and R. K. Dhira, “Carbonation behaviour of recycled aggregate concrete,” *Cem. Concr. Compos.*, vol. 62, pp. 22–32, 2015.
- [103] E. Drouet, S. Poyet, P. Le Bescop, J. M. Torrenti and X. Bourbon, “Carbonation of hardened cement pastes: Influence of temperature,” *Cem. Concr. Res.*, vol. 115, pp. 445–459, 2019.
- [104] Liu, L. Ha, J. Hashida, T. Letters and S. Teramura “Development of a CO_2 solidification method for recycling autoclaved lightweight concrete waste” *J. of materi. scie* . pp. 1791–1794, 2001.
- [105] Ji, L. Yu, H. Yu, B. Zhang, R. French, D. Grigore, M. Wang, X. Chen, Z. Zhao and Shuaifei, “Insights into Carbonation Kinetics of Fly Ash from Victorian Lignite for CO_2 Sequestration,” *Energy and Fuels*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 4569–4578, 2018.
- [106] F. P. Torgal, S. Miraldo, J. A. Labrincha and J. D. Brito, “An overview on

- concrete carbonation in the context of eco-efficient construction: Evaluation, use of SCMs and/or RAC,” *Constr. Build Mater.*, vol. 36. Elsevier Ltd, pp. 141–150, 2012.
- [107] A. F. Alshalif, J. M. Irwan, N. Othman, A. Al-Gheethi, A. Hassan and I. M. Nasser, “Potential of carbonic anhydrase and urease bacteria for sequestration of CO₂ into aerated concrete,” *Matec. Web. Confer.*, vol. 250, pp. 1–11, 2018.
- [108] L. De Ceukelaire and D. Van Nieuwenburg, “Accelerated carbonation of a blast-furnace cement concrete,” *Cem. Concr. Res.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 442–452, 1993.
- [109] H. W. Song and S. J. Kwon, “Permeability characteristics of carbonated concrete considering capillary pore structure,” *Cem. Concr. Res.*, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 909–915, 2007.
- [110] S.-J. Kwon and H.-W. Song, “Analysis of carbonation behavior in concrete using neural network algorithm and carbonation modeling,” *Cem. Concr. Res.*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 119–127, 2010.
- [111] K. Sisomphon and L. Franke, “Carbonation rates of concretes containing high volume of pozzolanic materials,” *Cem. Concr. Res.*, vol. 37, no. 12, pp. 1647–1653, 2007.
- [112] Y. Chun, T. R. Naik and R. N. Kraus, “Carbon dioxide sequestration in concrete in different curing environments,” *Proc. Coventry Univ. Int. Conf. Sustain. Constr. Mater. Technol. Coventry*, pp. 18–24, 2007.
- [113] C. F. Chang and J. W. Chen, “The experimental investigation of concrete carbonation depth,” *Cem. Concr. Res.*, vol. 36, no. 9, pp. 1760–1767, 2006.
- [114] V. G. Papadakis, “Effect of supplementary cementing materials on concrete resistance against carbonation and chloride ingress,” *Cem. Concr. Res.*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 291–299, 2000.
- [115] N. Otsuki, S. Miyazato and W. Yodsudjai, “Influence of Recycled Aggregate on Interfacial Transition Zone, Strength, Chloride Penetration and Carbonation of Concrete,” *J. Mater. Civ. Eng.*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 443–451, 2003.
- [116] C. D. Ati\cs, “Accelerated carbonation and testing of concrete made with fly ash,” *Constr. Build. Mater.*, no. 17, pp. 147–152, 2003.
- [117] J. Bai, S. Wild and B. B. Sabir, “Sorptivity and strength of air-cured and water-cured PC-PFA-MK concrete and the influence of binder composition on carbonation depth,” *Cem. Concr. Res.*, vol. 32, no. 11, pp. 1813–1821, 2002.

- [118] J. Khunthongkeaw, S. Tangtermsirikul and T. Leelawat, "A study on carbonation depth prediction for fly ash concrete," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 20, no. 9, pp. 744–753, 2006.
- [119] R. K. Dhir, M. C. Limbachiya, M. J. McCarthy and A. Chaipanich, "Evaluation of Portland limestone cements for use in concrete construction," *Mater. Struct. Constr.*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 459–473, 2007.
- [120] H. Shi, B. Xu and X. Zhou, "Influence of mineral admixtures on compressive strength, gas permeability and carbonation of high performance concrete," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 1980–1985, 2009.
- [121] P. S. Lovato, E. Possan, D. C. C. D. Molin, Â. B. Masuero and J. L. D. Ribeiro, "Modeling of mechanical properties and durability of recycled aggregate concretes," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 437–447, 2012.
- [122] H. Zhao, W. Sun, X. Wu and B. Gao, "Effect of initial water-curing period and curing condition on the properties of self-compacting concrete," *Mater. Des.*, vol. 35, pp. 194–200, 2012.
- [123] Zhang, J. Liu, Y. Feng, T. Zhou, M. Zhao, L. Zhou and A. Li, Zhu, "Immobilizing bacteria in expanded perlite for the crack self-healing in concrete," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 148, pp. 610–617, 2017.
- [124] J. H. Jeong, Y. S. Jo, C. S. Park, C. H. Kang and J. S. So, "Biocementation of concrete pavements using microbially induced calcite precipitation," *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, vol. 27, no. 7, pp. 1331–1335, 2017.
- [125] A. I. Omoregie, G. Khoshdelnezamiha, N. Senian, D. E. L. Ong and P. M. Nissom, "Experimental optimisation of various cultural conditions on urease activity for isolated *Sporosarcina pasteurii* strains and evaluation of their biocement potentials," *Ecol. Eng.*, vol. 109, pp. 65–75, 2017.
- [126] V. Wiktor and H. M. Jonkers, "Quantification of crack-healing in novel bacteria-based self-healing concrete," *Cem. Concr. Compos.*, vol. 33, no. 7, pp. 763–770, 2011.
- [127] F. Pacheco-Torgal and J. A. Labrincha, "Biotechconcrete: An innovative approach for concrete with enhanced durability," *Eco-Effic. Concr.*, vol. 40, pp. 565–576, 2013.
- [128] F. Nosouhian, D. Mostofinejad and H. Hasheminejad, "Influence of biodeposition treatment on concrete durability in a sulphate environment," *Biosyst. Eng.*, vol. 133, pp. 141–152, 2015.

- [129] A. Alshalif, M. Juki, N. Othman and A. Al-Gheethi, "Improvement of mechanical properties of bio-concrete using *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Bacillus cereus* strains," *Environ. Eng. Res.*, 24(4), 630-637, 2019.
- [130] V. Wiktor and H. M. Jonkers, "Field performance of bacteria-based repair system: Pilot study in a parking garage," *Case Stud. Constr. Mater.*, vol. 2, pp. 11–17, 2015.
- [131] J.-G. Shim, D. W. Lee, J. H. Lee and N.-S. Kwak, "Experimental Study on Capture of Carbon Dioxide and Production of Sodium Bicarbonate From Sodium Hydroxide," *Environ. Eng. Res.*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 297–303, 2016.
- [132] N. Narayanan and K. Ramamurthy, "Structure and properties of aerated concrete: A review," *Cem. Concr. Compos.*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 321–329, 2000.
- [133] R. G. Mathey and W. J. J. Rossiter, "A Review of Autoclaved Aerated Concrete Products," *NBS Publ.*, p. 32, 1988.
- [134] R. G. Mathey and W. J. J. Rossiter, "A Review of Autoclaved Aerated Concrete Products," *NBS Publ.*, p. 32, 1988.
- [135] H. Kurama, I. B. Topçu and C. Karakurt, "Properties of the autoclaved aerated concrete produced from coal bottom ash," *J. Mater. Process. Technol.*, vol. 209, no. 2, pp. 767–773, 2009.
- [136] M. Albayrak, A. Yörükoğlu, S. Karahan, S. Atlihan, H. Yilmaz Aruntaş and I. Girgin, "Influence of zeolite additive on properties of autoclaved aerated concrete," *Build. Environ.*, vol. 42, no. 9, pp. 3161–3165, 2007.
- [137] T. Mitsuda, "Influence of Quartz Particle size on the Chemical and Mechanical Properties of Autoclaved Aerated Concrete (I) Tobermorite Formation," *Cem. Concr. Res.*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 243–248, 1995.
- [138] Y. Liu, B. S. Leong, Z. T. Hu and E. H. Yang, "Autoclaved aerated concrete incorporating waste aluminum dust as foaming agent," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 148, pp. 140–147, 2017.
- [139] Y. Song, B. Li, E. Yang, Y. Liu and T. Ding, "Cement & Concrete Composites Feasibility study on utilization of municipal solid waste incineration bottom ash as aerating agent for the production of autoclaved aerated concrete," *Cem. Concr. Compos.*, vol. 56, pp. 51–58, 2015.
- [140] S. Mindess, *Developements in the formulation and reinforcement of concrete*. 2008.
- [141] M. A. Mousa and N. Uddin, "Experimental and analytical study of carbon fiber-

- reinforced polymer (FRP)/ autoclaved aerated concrete (AAC) sandwich panels,” *Eng. Struct.*, vol. 31, no. 10, pp. 2337–2344, 2009.
- [142] N. Narayanan and K. Ramamurthy, “Structure and properties of aerated concrete : a review,” *Cem. Concr. Compos.*, vol. 22, pp. 321–329, 2000.
- [143] E. Muthu Kumar and K. Ramamurthy, “Effect of fineness and dosage of aluminium powder on the properties of moist-cured aerated concrete,” *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 95, pp. 486–496, 2015.
- [144] M. W. Hussin, K. Muthusamy and F. Zakaria, “Effect of Mixing Constituent toward Engineering Properties of POFA Cement-Based Aerated Concrete,” *J. Mater. Civ. Eng.*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 287–295, 2010.
- [145] E. Namsone, G. Šahmenko and A. Korjamins, “Durability Properties of High Performance Foamed Concrete,” *Proced. Eng.*, vol. 172, pp. 760–767, 2017.
- [146] Z. Chen, Y. Liu and E. H. Yang, “Municipal solid waste incineration bottom ash (IBA) as aerating agent for the production of aerated lightweight concrete,” *Conf. Sust. Infrast.*, vol. 56, pp. 650–658, 2014
- [147] E. Holt and P. Raivio, “Use of gasification residues in aerated autoclaved concrete,” *Cem. Concr. Res.*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 796–802, 2005.
- [148] Z. O. Pehlivanlı, İ. Uzun, Z. P. Yücel and İ. Demir, “The effect of different fiber reinforcement on the thermal and mechanical properties of autoclaved aerated concrete,” *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 112, pp. 325–330, 2016.
- [149] K. H. Yang and K. H. Lee, “Tests on high-performance aerated concrete with a lower density,” *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 74, pp. 109–117, 2015.
- [150] J. Jiang, Z. Lu, Y. Niu, J. Li and Y. Zhang, “Study on the preparation and properties of high-porosity foamed concretes based on ordinary Portland cement,” *Mater. Des.*, vol. 92, pp. 949–959, 2016.
- [151] Y. H. M. Amran, N. Farzadnia and A. A. A. Ali, “Properties and applications of foamed concrete; A review,” *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 101, pp. 990–1005, 2015.
- [152] B. Chen, Z. Wu and N. Liu, “Experimental Research on Properties of High-Strength Foamed Concrete,” *J. Mater. Civ. Eng.*, vol. 24, no. 8, pp. 113–118, 2012.
- [153] T. T. Nguyen, H. H. Bui, T. D. Ngo and G. D. Nguyen, “Experimental and numerical investigation of influence of air-voids on the compressive behaviour of foamed concrete,” *Mater. Des.*, vol. 130, no. February, pp. 103–119, 2017.

- [154] X. Chen, Y. Yan, Y. Liu and Z. Hu, "Utilization of circulating fluidized bed fly ash for the preparation of foam concrete," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 54, pp. 137–146, 2014.
- [155] E. P. Kearsley and P. J. Wainwright, "Porosity and permeability of foamed concrete," *Cem. Concr. Res.*, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 805–812, 2001.
- [156] D. Bonen and S. L. Sarkar, "The effects of simulated environmental attack on immobilization of heavy metals doped in cement-based materials," *J. Hazard. Mater.*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 321–335, 1995.
- [157] I. H. Ling and D. C. L. Teo, "Properties of EPS RHA lightweight concrete bricks under different curing conditions," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 25, no. 8, pp. 3648–3655, 2011.
- [158] K.Y. Chiang, P.H. Chou, C.R. Hua, K.-L. Chien and C. Cheeseman, "Lightweight bricks manufactured from water treatment sludge and rice husks," *J. Hazard. Mater.*, vol. 171, no. 1–3, pp. 76–82, 2009.
- [159] U. J. Alengaram, B. A. Al Muhit, M. Z. bin Jumaat and M. L. Y. Jing, "A comparison of the thermal conductivity of oil palm shell foamed concrete with conventional materials," *Mater. Des.*, vol. 51, pp. 522–529, 2013.
- [160] X. Wang, W. Gao, S. Yan, M. Niu, G. Liu and H. Hao, "Incorporation of sand-based breathing bricks with foamed concrete and humidity control materials," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 175, pp. 187–195, 2018.
- [161] B. Šavija and M. Luković, "Carbonation of cement paste: Understanding, challenges, and opportunities," *Construction and Building Materials*, vol. 117, pp. 285–301, 2016.
- [162] R. Siddique, K. Singh, P. Kunal, M. Singh, V. Corinaldesi and A. Rajor, "Properties of bacterial rice husk ash concrete," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 121, no. September, pp. 112–119, 2016.
- [163] D. Sharma and S. Goyal, "Accelerated carbonation curing of cement mortars containing cement kiln dust: An effective way of CO₂ sequestration and carbon footprint reduction," *J. Clean. Prod.*, vol. 192, pp. 844–854, 2018.
- [164] H. K. Kim, S. J. Park, J. I. Han and H. K. Lee, "Microbially mediated calcium carbonate precipitation on normal and lightweight concrete," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 38, pp. 1073–1082, Jan. 2013.
- [165] K. K. Sahoo, M. Arakha, P. Sarkar, D. P. Robin and S. Jha, "Enhancement of properties of recycled coarse aggregate concrete using bacteria," *Int. J. Smart*

- Nano Mater.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 22–38, 2016.
- [166] M. A. Bezerra, R. E. Santelli, E. P. Oliveira, L. S. Villar and L. A. Escalera, “Response surface methodology (RSM) as a tool for optimization in analytical chemistry,” *Talanta*, vol. 76, no. 5, pp. 965–977, 2008.
- [167] M. T. Cihan, A. Güner, and N. Yüzer, “Response surfaces for compressive strength of concrete,” *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 40, pp. 763–774, 2013.
- [168] R. Sharma, A. Dehzangi, J. Lyons, K. Paliwal, T. Tsunoda and A. Sharma, “Predict Gram-positive and Gram-negative subcellular localization via incorporating evolutionary information and physicochemical features into Chou’s general PseAAC,” *IEEE Trans. Nanobioscience*, vol. 1241, 2015.
- [169] G. A. Silva-Castro, I. Uad, A. Gonzalez-Martinez, A. Rivadeneyra, J. Gonzalez-Lopez and M. A. Rivadeneyra, “Bioprecipitation of calcium carbonate crystals by bacteria isolated from saline environments grown in culture media amended with seawater and real brine,” *Biomed Res. Int.*, vol. 2015, 2015.
- [170] J. B. Jensen and W. Trager, “Plasmodium falciparum in culture: use of outdated erythrocytes and description of the candle jar method,” *J. Parasitol.*, vol. 63, no. 5, pp. 883–886, 1977.
- [171] A. U. Orjih, “Comparison of Plasmodium falciparum growth in sickle cells in low oxygen environment and candle-jar,” *Acta Trop.*, vol. 94, no. 1, pp. 25–34, 2005.
- [172] R. Kumar, R. Lakhani and P. Tomar, “A simple novel mix design method and properties assessment of foamed concretes with limestone slurry waste”, *J. of Clean. Produc.* vol. 171. pp. 1650-1663, 2018.
- [173] D. K. Panesar, “Cellular concrete properties and the effect of synthetic and protein foaming agents,” *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 44, pp. 575–584, 2013.
- [174] E. K. K. Nambiar and K. Ramamurthy, “Models relating mixture composition to the density and strength of foam concrete using response surface methodology,” *Cem. Concr. Compos.*, 2006.
- [175] A. Zambrano Leal, “Sociedad de control y profesión docente. Las imposturas de un discurso y la exigencia de una nueva realidad,” *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, no. 95, pp. 45–52, 2012.
- [176] C. Of, S. B. Mortar and C. Repair, “Characterization of sustainable bio-based mortar for concrete repair,” *Constr. Build. Mater.*, pp. 373–378, 2014.
- [177] A. Wongkeo, W., Thongsanitgarn, P., Pimraksa and K. Chaipanich,

- “Compressive strength, flexural strength and thermal conductivity of autoclaved concrete block made using bottom ash as cement replacement materials,” *Mater. Des.*, vol. 35, p. 434–439., 2012.
- [178] N. Biglarijoo, M. Nili, S. M. Hosseini, M. Razmara, S. Ahmadi and P. Razmara, “Modelling and optimisation of concrete containing recycled concrete aggregate and waste glass,” *Mag. Concr. Res.*, vol. 69, no. 6, pp. 306–316, 2017.
- [179] N. Favre, M. L. Christ, and A. C. Pierre, “Biocatalytic capture of CO₂ with carbonic anhydrase and its transformation to solid carbonate,” *J. Mol. Catal. B Enzym.*, vol. 60, no. 3–4, pp. 163–170, 2009.
- [180] W. Li, W. S. Chen, P. P. Zhou, S. L. Zhu and L. J. Yu, “Influence of initial calcium ion concentration on the precipitation and crystal morphology of calcium carbonate induced by bacterial carbonic anhydrase,” *Chem. Eng. J.*, vol. 218, pp. 65–72, 2013.
- [181] M. Cheesbrough "*District Laboratory Practice in Tropical Countries*" Part 1. 2006.
- [182] A. J. Hamad, “Materials, Production, Properties and Application of Aerated Lightweight Concrete: Review,” *Int. J. Mater. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 152–157, 2014.
- [183] N. Othman, J. Irwan, A. Alshalif and L. Anneza, “Effect of ureolytic bacteria on compressive strength and water permeability on bio-concrete,” *Advan. in Civil. Archit. Struct. and Constr. Eng.*, pp. 335–338, 2016
- [184] J. L. Zhang, C. G. Wang, Q. L. Wang, J. L. Feng, W. Pan and X. C. Zheng, “Biotechnological products and process engineering a binary concrete crack self-healing system containing oxygen-releasing tablet and bacteria and its Ca²⁺ precipitation performance,” *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2016.
- [185] Ö. Cizer, E. Ruiz-Agudo and C. Rodriguez-Navarro, “Kinetic effect of carbonic anhydrase enzyme on the carbonation reaction of lime mortar,” *Int. J. Archit. Herit.*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 779–789, 2018.
- [186] A. F. Alshalif, M. I. Juki, N. Othman, A. A. Al-Gheethi and F. S. Khalid, “Improvement of mechanical properties of bio-concrete using *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Bacillus cereus*,” *Environ. Eng. Res.*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 630–637, 2019.
- [187] Salmiati, F. A. Dahalan, M. Z. M. Najib, M. R. Salim and Z. Ujang, “Characteristics of developed granules containing phototrophic aerobic bacteria

- for minimizing carbon dioxide emission,” *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad.*, vol. 102, pp. 15–23, 2015.
- [188] L. Haselbach and A. Thomas, “Carbon sequestration in concrete sidewalk samples,” *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 54, pp. 47–52, 2014.
- [189] Shekh, A.Y. Krishnamurthi, K. Mudliar, S. N. Yadav, R. R. Fulke, A. B. Devi, S. S. Chakrabarti and Tapan, “Recent Advancements in Carbonic Anhydrase–Driven Processes for CO₂ Sequestration: Minireview,” *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 42, no. 14, pp. 1419–1440, 2012.
- [190] A. Giri, U. C. Banerjee, M. Kumar and D. Pant, “Intracellular carbonic anhydrase from *Citrobacter freundii* and its role in bio-sequestration,” *Bioresour. Technol.*, vol. 267, no. May, pp. 789–792, 2018.
- [191] J. L. Branch, D. S. Kosson, A. C. Garrabrants and P. J. He, “The impact of carbonation on the microstructure and solubility of major constituents in microconcrete materials with varying alkalinities due to fly ash replacement of ordinary Portland cement,” *Cem. Concr. Res.*, vol. 89, pp. 297–309, 2016.
- [192] T. T. Nguyen, H. H. Bui, T. D. Ngo and G. D. Nguyen, “Experimental and numerical investigation of influence of air-voids on the compressive behaviour of foamed concrete,” *Mater. Des.*, vol. 130, pp. 103–119, 2017.
- [193] X. Tan, F. Han and F. Zhao, “Preparation of Autoclaved Foamed Concrete Block from Fly Ash and Carbide Slag,” *Matec .Web. of Conf.*, vol. 142, pp. 1–6, 2018.
- [194] E. Possan, W. A. Thomaz, G. A. Aleandri, E. F. Felix and A. C. P. dos Santos, “CO₂ uptake potential due to concrete carbonation: A case study,” *Case Stud. Constr. Mater.*, vol. 6, pp. 147–161, Jun. 2017.
- [195] N. H. Balam, D. Mostofinejad and M. Eftekhar, “Use of carbonate precipitating bacteria to reduce water absorption of aggregates,” *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 141, pp. 565–577, 2017.
- [196] K.H. Yang, E.A. Seo and S.H. Tae, “Carbonation and CO₂ uptake of concrete,” *Environ. Impact Assess. Rev.*, vol. 46, pp. 43–52, 2014.
- [197] L. M. Haselbach and J. N. Thomle, “An alternative mechanism for accelerated carbon sequestration in concrete,” *Sustain. Cities Soc.*, vol. 12, pp. 25–30, 2014.
- [198] D. Snoeck, J. Dewanckele, V. Cnudde and N. De Belie, “X-ray computed microtomography to study autogenous healing of cementitious materials promoted by superabsorbent polymers,” *Cem. Concr. Compos.*, vol. 65, pp. 83–

93, 2016.

- [199] K. Frost, D. Kaminski, G. Kirwan, E. Lascaris and R. Shanks, "Crystallinity and structure of starch using wide angle X-ray scattering," *Carbohydr. Polym.*, vol. 78, no. 3, pp. 543–548, 2009.
- [200] H. A. A. Gawwad, S. A. E.-A. Mohamed and S. A. Mohammed, "Impact of magnesium chloride on the mechanical properties of innovative bio-mortar," *Mater. Lett.*, vol. 178, pp. 39–43, 2016.

